

Mortar by the Numbers: ASTM Standards, Mortar Types, and What Your Wall Is Really Made Of

by Travis Nech

Champion Brick Repair — championbrickrepair.com

Champion Brick Repair — championbrickrepair.com

Copyright © 2026 by Travis Nech. All rights reserved.

This book is free. Share it with a neighbor who needs it.

Chapter 1: Why a Book About Numbers

I'll be honest with you: nobody picks up a book about ASTM standards for fun. I wouldn't either. But after fifty years of laying brick and repairing other people's repairs across Texas, I've learned something the hard way — the numbers are what separate a wall that lasts from a wall that fails, and most of the failures I've fixed came from somebody ignoring the numbers.

Here's what I mean. A homeowner calls me about a repointing job. The last contractor used a strong, modern mortar on her 1920s bungalow. It looked great for two years. Now the faces of the bricks are popping off across the whole back wall. She wants to know what went wrong. What went wrong is a number — the compressive strength of the mortar was a number the brick couldn't survive. If somebody had read the spec before mixing, that wall would still be fine.

Mortar looks simple. Sand, binder, water. But behind those three ingredients sits a whole system of standards — written down, tested, argued over by engineers — that tells you exactly what goes in the wall and why. Those standards have

names like ASTM C270. They have numbers in them: 2,500 psi, 750 psi, 350 psi. And those numbers are the difference between a repair that disappears into your wall for thirty years and a repair that destroys the wall in five.

This book is those numbers, translated into plain English. No engineering degree required. I'm going to walk you through what ASTM is, what the mortar spec actually says, what those letters — M, S, N, O — mean on a bag, why the wrong one eats brick, and what you should demand from any contractor who touches your masonry. By the end, you'll know more about what's in your wall than most of the guys bidding to repair it.

And one thing before we start: this book is the companion to *Mortar Color Matching for Old Texas Brick Homes*. That book is about making the repair disappear — the sand, the lime, the color, the craft. This book is about making the repair right — the strength, the spec, the science. You need both. A mortar that matches in color but not in strength will destroy your brick beautifully. A mortar that matches in strength but not in color will stare at you from the street for thirty years. Read them together and nobody can sell you half a job.

Chapter 2: What ASTM Actually Is

ASTM. You'll see those four letters on spec sheets, on bags, in building codes. Most homeowners have never heard of it. Here's what it is, plain.

ASTM International is an organization that writes the technical rulebooks for materials — not just mortar, everything: steel, concrete, paint, roofing, the works. It started in 1898, back when railroads were breaking because nobody agreed on what "good steel" meant. A group of engineers and manufacturers got together and said: let's write down exactly what this stuff has to be, and how you test it, so everybody's working from the same page. They've been writing those rulebooks ever since.

A standard is a promise. When a bag of mortar mix says it meets ASTM C270, that's a promise: this material was made to a written specification, and it can be tested against that specification. It's not one company's opinion of good mortar. It's the industry's agreed definition, worked out by committees of engineers, manufacturers, and users who argue about every number in it. That argument is the point —

the numbers survive scrutiny.

Why it matters to you. Your building code — the city inspector's rulebook — doesn't spell out mortar recipes. It points at the ASTM standards and says "do it like that." When your contractor's contract says "mortar per ASTM C270," that's the legal definition of what you're buying. And when something goes wrong — the brick spalls, the joints crumble — the first question any expert asks is: did the mortar meet the spec? The standards are the measuring stick everything gets held against.

The standards have a naming system, and it's simpler than it looks. The letter-number code tells you what kind of document it is. A "C" standard like C270 is a specification — it says what the material must be. Some standards are test methods — they say how you measure it. The number is just the catalog number. C270 is the mortar specification. C144 is the sand specification. C476 is the grout specification. Once you know the half-dozen numbers that govern masonry, you can read a spec sheet like a menu.

You don't need to memorize any of this. You need to understand one thing: behind every bag, every mix design, every "this is the right mortar for your wall" claim, there's a

written standard with real numbers in it — and in this book, you're going to learn the ones that matter.

Chapter 3: ASTM C270 — The Big One

If this book has one standard you need to know, it's ASTM C270: *Standard Specification for Mortar for Unit Masonry*. This is the mortar spec. It defines what mortar is, what goes in it, and how strong it has to be. Every bag of masonry mortar sold in this country is made to this standard or measured against it. Every building code references it. When a contractor says "Type N" or "Type S," he's speaking C270.

What C270 covers. The standard covers mortar for laying brick, block, and stone — what the trade calls "unit masonry." It covers the three binder systems the industry uses: Portland cement mixed with lime, masonry cement (a pre-blended factory product), and mortar cement (a similar pre-blended product with its own requirements). It does not tell you which type to use on your house — that's the design decision, and it's the one this whole book is about. What it does is define each type precisely: what's in it, how it's proportioned, and how strong it must test.

Two ways to meet the spec. C270 gives you two paths, and understanding the difference is one of the most useful things

in this book. The *proportion specification* is the recipe path: it says exactly how much of each ingredient goes in — so many parts cement, so many parts lime, so much sand. Follow the recipe and you're compliant, no testing required. The *property specification* is the performance path: it says the finished mortar must hit certain tested numbers — compressive strength, water retention, air content — however you get there. Same standard, two doors. We'll spend all of Chapter 5 on this, because the difference matters when you're judging a contractor's work.

The four types. C270 defines four mortar types, lettered M, S, N, and O. The letters don't stand for words — they're just labels, assigned in the order the types were developed. Each type is a strength grade, defined by a minimum 28-day compressive strength. From strongest to weakest: Type M at 2,500 psi, Type S at 1,800 psi, Type N at 750 psi, Type O at 350 psi. Those four numbers are the spine of this book. Everything else — which type goes where, why the wrong one destroys brick, what to demand from your contractor — hangs on understanding what those strengths mean.

What the letters don't tell you. Here's the trap: the type letter tells you strength, and only strength. A Type N from a

bag and a site-mixed Type N are the same strength and can look completely different. The letter says nothing about color, nothing about sand, nothing about whether the mortar belongs on your particular brick. Contractors love to hide behind the letter — "it's Type N, it's to spec" — as if the letter settled every question. It settles one question. The rest of the questions — the ones that determine whether your wall survives — are still open. That's what the next chapters are for.

Chapter 4: The Four Types in Plain English

Let's take those four types one at a time — what each one is, what it's for, and where it belongs. The strengths are minimum 28-day compressive strengths per the C270 property specification. Twenty-eight days is the standard cure period the whole industry tests against — mortar keeps gaining strength as it cures, and 28 days is where the number gets measured.

Type M — 2,500 psi. The heavyweight. Type M is the strongest standard mortar — two thousand five hundred pounds per square inch. That's serious strength. It goes where the loads are serious: below grade, foundations, retaining walls, places where the masonry is carrying real weight or fighting real earth pressure. The recipe is cement-heavy — one part Portland cement to a quarter part lime, in the proportion spec. It has the least lime of the four, which makes it the least forgiving: strong, stiff, and not much give. On a house wall above grade, Type M is almost always the wrong answer. It's a foundation mortar that occasionally gets misused on walls by people who think stronger is better. It isn't. You'll see why in Chapter 6.

Type S — 1,800 psi. The structural workhorse. Type S — eighteen hundred psi — is the high-strength above-grade mortar. One part cement to a half part lime. It goes on structural masonry: reinforced walls, seismic zones, places the engineer wants serious bond strength and lateral resistance. Below grade it's common too. On a Texas house, you'll see Type S specified for things like structural brick piers, retaining walls, and sometimes for the first courses below grade where the wall meets the foundation. What you should almost never see is Type S smeared across the face of an old soft-brick house as repointing mortar. That's a wall-destroyer wearing a spec badge. More in Chapter 6.

Type N — 750 psi. The everyday mortar. Type N — seven hundred fifty psi — is the default mortar of American residential construction. One part cement to one part lime. If your house was built from the 1960s onward with modern brick, the mortar in it is almost certainly Type N or its equivalent. It's the general-purpose above-grade mortar: strong enough for veneer walls, chimneys, and ordinary load-bearing masonry, with enough lime to give it workability and a little forgiveness. When a contractor says "standard mortar" without specifying, he usually means Type N. On modern hard brick, it's the right call. On old soft brick, it's

still too hard — which is exactly the mistake Chapter 6 is about.

Type O — 350 psi. The gentle one. Type O — three hundred fifty psi — is the softest standard mortar: one part cement to two parts lime, the most lime-rich of the four. It's the closest thing the modern spec has to the old lime mortars. Type O goes on low-strength masonry, interior non-load-bearing walls, and — critically — historic repointing where the brick is soft and the original mortar was lime. If your 1920s bungalow needs repointing and the mason reaches for anything stronger than Type O, stop the job and read Chapter 6 first. Type O doesn't get much respect at the supply house — it's the weak one, the one nobody brags about — but on old brick it's the hero. Softness, remember, is a feature.

The strength ladder, all in one place. M: 2,500. S: 1,800. N: 750. O: 350. Each step down roughly halves the strength — and roughly doubles the lime, which is not a coincidence. Lime is what gives mortar its forgiveness: its workability, its breathability, its ability to flex with a moving wall. The stronger types trade that away for load capacity. The right type isn't the strongest one. It's the one that matches the brick.

A note on Type K. Old-timers sometimes mention Type K — a very soft mortar, weaker than O. It existed in older versions of the standard, but the current C270 covers M, S, N, and O only. If somebody specs "Type K" today, they're reaching for history, not the current book. On genuinely ancient, fragile masonry, a straight lime-and-sand mix — no Portland at all — is the modern equivalent of what Type K was trying to be. Your preservation mason will know.

Chapter 5: Recipe vs. Performance —

Proportion Spec and Property Spec

Chapter 3 mentioned that C270 has two doors: the proportion specification and the property specification. This chapter is about which door your mortar came through — because it changes what "meets the spec" actually proves.

The proportion spec: the recipe. The proportion specification says: mix it like this. It gives exact volumetric proportions — so many parts cement, so many parts lime, so much sand — for each type. The cement-to-lime ratios run: Type M, one part cement to a quarter part lime; Type S, one to a half; Type N, one to one; Type O, one to two. The sand runs two and a quarter to three times the combined volume of the cementitious materials. Follow the recipe with compliant materials and the mortar is C270-compliant by definition. No lab test required. This is how most field-mixed mortar is done — the mason measures, mixes, and the proportions are the proof.

The property spec: the test results. The property specification doesn't care about your recipe. It cares about

what the hardened mortar does: minimum compressive strength at 28 days (the 2,500 / 1,800 / 750 / 350 numbers), minimum water retention of 75 percent, and a cap on air content. Meet those tested numbers and you're compliant, whatever proportions got you there. This is how most manufactured mortars are qualified — the factory tests its product and certifies the numbers.

Why the distinction matters to you. A bag that says "Type N per C270 (Property)" was qualified by testing. A mason who says "I mixed it 1:1:6 per C270 (Proportion)" is claiming the recipe. Both are legitimate paths to the same standard. But they fail differently. A property-spec mortar can drift in the field — add too much water on a hot day, and the strength you paid for isn't the strength you got. A proportion-spec mortar is only as good as the measuring — "about a shovel of this" isn't a proportion. When a contractor tells you his mortar meets the spec, the follow-up question is: which spec path, and how are you verifying it on my job? That's a Chapter 10 question, and now you know why to ask it.

Water retention — the number nobody talks about. The property spec requires minimum 75 percent water retention. In plain English: the mortar has to hold onto its mixing water

instead of letting the brick suck it dry. Why it matters: brick is thirsty, especially in Texas heat. If the mortar gives up its water to the brick too fast, the cement doesn't fully hydrate — it never reaches its designed strength, and the bond to the brick suffers. High water retention means the mortar stays workable on the trowel and cures properly in the wall. Lime is the great water-retainer — which is one more reason the high-lime types behave better in the field, whatever the lab numbers say.

What the spec doesn't do. C270 tells you what the mortar must be. It doesn't tell you which type your wall needs — that's engineering judgment, and on old houses it's judgment informed by the brick's age and hardness. It doesn't address color or appearance at all — that's the companion book's territory. And it doesn't inspect your mason's water bucket. The spec is the standard. The execution is still on the crew.

Chapter 6: The Number One Mistake — Hard Mortar on Soft Brick

This is the chapter the whole book has been building toward. If you take one thing from these pages, take this: **the mortar must be softer than the brick.** Not as strong. Not stronger. Softer. Every wall I've ever seen destroyed by repointing was destroyed by somebody violating that sentence.

The mechanics, plain. Every masonry wall moves. The Texas sun heats it by day, it cools at night, our clay soil breathes with the seasons and pushes and pulls at the foundation. Movement has to go somewhere. In a properly built wall, it goes into the mortar joints — the soft mortar compresses, flexes, develops hairline cracks that heal or get repointed, and absorbs the movement. The bricks, hard and brittle and expensive, ride along undisturbed. The mortar is the crumple zone. That is its job. It is designed to be the weak link, on purpose.

Now put hard mortar in that wall. The movement still happens — the sun doesn't care what you repointed with. But the hard mortar won't give. So the stress goes looking for the

next weakest thing, and that's the brick. Brick is strong in compression and weak in everything else. It doesn't flex; it fractures. The faces start spalling — popping and flaking off, sometimes in sheets. Corners crack. In bad cases whole units split. And the cruel part: the hard mortar sits there looking perfect the entire time, so the damage looks like "the bricks are just deteriorating" when the actual cause is the mortar that was supposed to protect them.

How it happens, over and over. The scenario is always the same. A house with soft old brick and lime mortar needs repointing. The contractor shows up with Type N or Type S — modern, Portland-heavy, 750 to 1,800 psi — cuts out the soft lime joints, and packs in the hard stuff. For a year or two it looks fantastic: crisp joints, solid as rock. Then the wall moves, the way Texas walls do. The hard mortar holds. The soft brick doesn't. Within a few years the homeowner is looking at a wall of spalled brick that can only be fixed by replacing the brick itself — at many times the cost of the original repointing. The contractor is long gone. The "strong" mortar didn't fail. It succeeded so completely it destroyed everything around it.

The numbers make it obvious. Take a 1920s Texas

bungalow: soft brick, original lime mortar probably testing in the low hundreds of psi — call it the Type O neighborhood, around 350 psi, maybe less. Now repoint it with Type S at 1,800 psi. You've put a mortar five times stronger than the original against brick that was never meant to meet it. The preservation authorities are blunt about this: repointing mortar must be softer than the masonry units and similar to or softer than the original mortar. Not "close." Softer. The C270 strength ladder from Chapter 4 isn't just a catalog — it's a warning system. Every step up that ladder on old brick is a step toward this failure.

Why smart people keep making this mistake. Because "stronger is better" is the most natural wrong idea in construction. It feels like common sense — who wants weak mortar? And the bag doesn't help: the supply house stocks Type N and Type S, the bags promise strength and durability, and nothing on the shelf says "for old soft brick, buy the weakest thing we've got." The contractor isn't usually malicious. He's just never been taught that in masonry, strength is matched, not maximized. Now you know. Make sure he does too.

The Texas wrinkle. Our clay soil makes this worse than in

most of the country. Expansive clay moves foundations seasonally — more movement than stable ground back East, more stress looking for somewhere to go. A rigid high-strength mortar on a moving Texas wall concentrates that stress brutally. The flexible, lime-rich mortars aren't just historically correct here — they're the right engineering for our ground. When I spec soft mortar on old Texas brick, it's not nostalgia. It's mechanics.

Chapter 7: The Supporting Cast — C144, C207, C476, C1314

C270 gets the glory, but it doesn't work alone. Four more ASTM standards govern the ingredients and the testing around it. You don't need to memorize them — you need to know they exist, what each one controls, and why a contractor who waves off the ingredients doesn't understand the wall.

ASTM C144 — the sand spec. C144 is the *Standard Specification for Aggregate for Masonry Mortar* — the rulebook for the sand. It governs grading (the distribution of grain sizes, controlled by sieve requirements), cleanliness, and limits on deleterious substances — the clay lumps, organic junk, and coatings that weaken mortar. Why it matters: a poorly graded or dirty sand makes weak, crumbly joints no matter how good the binder is. Remember from the companion book that sand is three-quarters of what you see in a joint — C144 is the reason three-quarters of what holds your wall together is trustworthy. When a mason is casual about his sand source, he's casual about the standard that

qualifies it.

ASTM C207 — the lime spec. C207 is the *Standard Specification for Hydrated Lime for Masonry Purposes*. It defines the lime types: N for normal, S for special — the special type has tighter limits on unhydrated oxides, which means more complete, more predictable performance — plus air-entrained versions of each (NA and SA) for freeze-thaw exposure. Why it matters: lime is the ingredient that makes mortar forgiving, workable, and breathable — everything Chapter 4's high-lime types are valued for. The bag of hydrated lime at the supply house meets C207 or it shouldn't be in your wall. And when a spec calls for Type S lime specifically, that's not alphabet soup — it's the tighter standard, and there's a reason somebody wrote it down.

ASTM C476 — the grout spec. C476 is the *Standard Specification for Grout for Masonry* — and grout, in masonry, doesn't mean tile grout. It means the fluid concrete-like fill poured into the hollow cores of concrete block and the cavities of reinforced brick walls. C476 requires a minimum 28-day compressive strength of 2,000 psi and a slump of 8 to 11 inches — that high slump is what makes it pourable, able to flow around reinforcing steel and fill every void. Why it

matters to a homeowner: if your house has reinforced masonry — a structural block wall, a reinforced brick pier, anything with rebar in it — the grout locking that steel in place is a specified material with a tested strength, not "some concrete we poured in." Two thousand psi, eight-to-eleven slump. That's the promise.

ASTM C1314 — the prism test. C1314 is the *Standard Test Method for Compressive Strength of Masonry Prisms*. A prism is a small stack of masonry units — brick or block — laid up with the actual mortar, cured, and crushed in a press to measure how the assembly performs. Why it matters: mortar strength and unit strength are ingredients; prism strength is the wall. Engineers use prism tests to verify that a masonry assembly will carry its design loads — it's the test that connects the C270 numbers to the building standing up. You'll rarely order one for a house repoint, but when a structural question arises — a cracked load-bearing wall, an engineer's evaluation — the prism test is how the answer gets measured. It's the standard behind "will this wall hold."

How they fit together. Think of it as a chain: C144 qualifies the sand, C207 qualifies the lime, C270 qualifies the mortar made from them, C476 qualifies the grout filling the cores,

and C1314 tests the finished assembly. Break any link — dirty sand, wrong lime, wrong mortar type, weak grout — and the chain is only as strong as that link. The standards are a system, not a pile. A contractor who respects one and ignores the rest doesn't understand the system.

Chapter 8: Field Testing and Lab Testing — Proving What's in the Wall

Standards on paper are one thing. What's actually in your wall is another. This chapter is about how you find out — the field tests a good mason runs with his hands, and the lab tests that settle arguments.

The field tests. You've met these in the companion book, but they're worth restating here because they're the spec's front line. The rub test: white chalky dust on your finger means lime binder; clean gray means Portland. The hardness test: a nail that scratches and dents means soft mortar; one that skates off means hard. These two tests sort every wall into the only categories that matter for the strength decision — soft mortar needing soft replacement, or hard mortar that can take a standard mix. They're not precise, but they're honest, and a mason who skips them is guessing about the most important technical decision on your house.

When the field tests aren't enough. Sometimes the rub test is ambiguous. Sometimes the hardness is borderline — not clearly lime-soft, not clearly Portland-hard — and the choice

between a lime-rich Type O and a standard Type N has real consequences. Sometimes the building is historic or designated, and the preservation authority wants documentation, not a mason's opinion. Sometimes a previous bad repointing already damaged the brick and you need to prove what was there. That's when you call the lab.

What a mortar analysis tells you. A materials lab takes a small sample of your original mortar and takes it apart scientifically. The core of it is acid digestion: the sample is treated to dissolve the binders — lime and cement — leaving the sand behind. Now the lab can weigh the proportions: how much binder, how much sand. Petrographic examination under the microscope identifies the binder type, the sand's mineral composition and gradation, and any additives or oddities — the crushed shell, the clay, the historic quirks that field examination can only guess at. What comes back is a report: your mortar was this much lime, this much Portland, this much sand of a specific gradation. It's the recipe, reverse-engineered from the wall itself.

What it costs, and what it doesn't replace. A basic analysis typically runs in the hundreds of dollars — a fraction of a repointing job, and a rounding error next to the cost of

getting the mortar wrong. But the lab doesn't replace the test panels. The lab tells you the recipe. The panels tell you what the recipe looks like on your wall, in your light, after a full cure. Lab plus panels is the gold standard. The panels are always the final judge.

Finding the right lab. You want a conservation lab or building-materials testing firm with historic masonry experience — not a general concrete shop. Your state historic preservation office can refer you, and any historic-masonry mason worth hiring already has a lab he works with. And here's a question for your contractor from Chapter 10's list: "Have you ever sent mortar to a lab?" The answer tells you whether he works in the world where the numbers get verified, or the world where they get assumed.

Chapter 9: How the Standards Connect to the Match

This is where the two books join up. The companion book — *Mortar Color Matching for Old Texas Brick Homes* — is about making the repair disappear: the sand hunt, the lime, the test panels, the tooling. This book is about making the repair right: the spec, the strength, the numbers. Here's how they connect, because on a real wall they're the same job.

Strength first, color second — but never one without the other. The sequence matters. You determine the right mortar type from the brick's age and hardness — the strength decision, this book's territory — before you chase the color. Why: because the strength decision constrains the recipe. If the wall needs a lime-rich Type O, your color matching happens inside a high-lime recipe — the binder is mostly lime, the sand does the color work, and any pigment tuning follows the rules. If you match color first with a gray Portland bag and discover later the brick needed lime, you've matched the wrong mortar. Beautifully. The spec sets the boundaries; the craft works inside them.

The sand is where the two books shake hands. C144 qualifies the sand structurally — grading, cleanliness. The companion book hunts the sand visually — color, grain shape, texture. It's the same sand, judged two ways. And here's the elegant part: the structural requirements and the visual requirements usually point at the same pile. A well-graded, clean sand that meets C144 is also the sand that packs tight, tools clean, and holds its color — the cosmetic and the structural are the same good decision. When your mason shows you sand samples against your old joints, he's doing both books at once whether he knows it or not.

Lime connects them too. C207 qualifies the lime. The companion book prescribes it — high-lime mixes for old brick, the soft heart of traditional mortar. The standard tells you the lime is legitimate material; the craft tells you it's the right material for the wall. And the water-retention number from Chapter 5 — minimum 75 percent — is the quiet reason lime mortars behave so well in Texas heat: the lime holds the water, the mortar cures properly, the wall gets what the spec promised.

Type letters are not colors. This deserves repeating because it's the most common confusion I see: a contractor says "it's

Type N, it's to spec" as if that answers the matching question. The type letter is a strength grade. Two Type N mortars — one bagged gray, one site-mixed with matched buff sand and white cement — are the same strength and different universes visually. The standard guarantees the number. The match is still the mason's job. When you read the companion book's nine-step process — read the wall, source the sand, design the mix, test batches, panels, cure, judge, document, execute — notice that "design the mix" sits in the middle, informed by everything in this book. The strength decision shapes the recipe; the recipe shapes the color work. One job, two books.

The test panel is where both standards are proven. The panel cures, and you judge two things: does it disappear into the wall (the companion book's test), and is it the right strength for the brick (this book's test). A panel that matches in color but was mixed too hard fails the wall. A panel that's the right strength but the wrong color fails the eye. The approved panel — the one that passes both — becomes the production standard, and the written recipe goes in the job file. That's the system working: spec and craft, numbers and eyes, each checking the other.

Chapter 10: What to Demand — Questions for Your Contractor

You've read the book. Now you're hiring. Here's what the numbers entitle you to ask — the questions that sort contractors who understand the spec from contractors who've never opened it. Ask them plainly, the way they're written. The answers will tell you everything.

"What mortar type are you specifying for my wall, and why?" The right answer names a type — M, S, N, or O — and ties it to your brick: its age, its hardness, what the field tests showed. "Your brick is 1920s soft brick with lime mortar, so we're going with a lime-rich Type O" is an answer. "We always use Type N" is not an answer — it's a habit. "Type S, it's stronger" is the most expensive wrong answer in this book. The type must be chosen for the wall, not pulled from habit.

"Proportion spec or property spec — which path, and how are you verifying it?" If he's mixing on site, he's on the proportion path: ask how he measures — by volume, with real measures, not shovelfuls — and what his exact

proportions are. If he's using bagged mortar, he's on the property path: ask what the bag is certified to and whether he's controlling water on site, because field water is where property-spec strength goes to die. A contractor who's never heard of the two paths doesn't know the standard he's claiming to meet.

"How did you determine my brick's hardness?" Listen for the field tests — the rub test, the nail test — or a lab analysis on a historic building. "I looked at it" is not a hardness determination. "It's old so it's soft" is closer but still a guess. You want evidence: what he tested, what it showed, and how that drove the mortar choice. The strength rule — mortar softer than brick — is only as good as the hardness assessment behind it.

"Where's your sand from, and does it meet the grading spec?" Two questions in one: the visual match (companion book) and the structural qualification (C144, this book). A good mason answers both — the yard, the sand description, samples against your joints. "The supply house" answers neither.

"What lime are you using?" On old brick, the answer should involve hydrated lime meeting C207 — and on the softest,

oldest walls, a lot of it. If the mason's plan has no lime in it for a pre-1940s house, stop the conversation. If he can't name the lime type, he hasn't thought about the binder, and the binder is half the wall.

"Will you do test panels, and will you let them cure before I judge?" The panels prove the color match (companion book) and the production mix proves the spec. The cure wait — weeks, not days — is non-negotiable. A contractor who wants to skip the panels, judge them wet, or start production before they're approved is asking you to trust him instead of the evidence. The panels are the evidence. Insist.

"What happens if the mortar tests wrong?" This is the accountability question. On a job that matters — historic building, structural masonry, engineer's spec — the contract can require verification: proportion checks on site-mixed mortar, or lab testing of the hardened work. Most residential repointing won't go that far, and that's fine. But the contractor should be able to say what he'd do if the mix was off — adjust, re-panel, re-do. "It won't be off" is not a quality program.

"Put the mortar spec in the contract." Not the brand. Not "standard mortar." The type, the binder system, the mix

proportions or the certified product, the sand source. What gets written gets done. What gets hand-waved gets substituted. A contractor who won't write down his mortar spec is telling you it's negotiable, and the only direction it negotiates is cheaper and harder.

Red flags, all in one place: One bag for every house. "Stronger is better." No mention of your brick's age or hardness. Annoyance at the word "lime." No test panels. No written mix. A bid that's dramatically cheaper than the others — the spec compliance is where the cheap bid cuts. Anybody who tells you the standards don't matter for "just repointing." The standards are the job. Hire the contractor who knows that.

Chapter 11: The Wall Doesn't Care About Opinions

Let me close with the thought I opened with. The wall doesn't care about opinions. It doesn't care what the contractor always uses, what the bag promises, or what the last guy did. It cares about physics: strength against strength, movement against flexibility, water against permeability. The ASTM standards are physics written down — argued over, tested, revised, and argued over again, until the numbers in them earned their place.

You now know the numbers that matter. The four types — M at 2,500, S at 1,800, N at 750, O at 350 — and what each one is for. The two paths to compliance — the recipe and the test results — and how each one can fail in the field. The supporting standards — the sand, the lime, the grout, the prism test — and how they chain together. The field tests and the lab. The strength rule that governs everything: the mortar must be softer than the brick.

And you know how it connects to the craft. The companion book teaches you to make the repair disappear. This book

teaches you to make it right. A wall that gets both — matched in strength, matched in color, mixed with discipline, tooled like the original — is a wall that's finished. Not patched. Finished. It'll stand there for decades and nobody will ever know you were there, which is the highest compliment a mason's work can get.

One last thing. If you're in North Texas and you want [mortar work and repointing](<https://championbrickrepair.com>) done by people who read the spec and read the wall — the numbers and the craft, both — that's what we do. Bring us your questions. We'll bring the loupe, the sand samples, and the test panels. And we'll put the mortar spec in the contract, in writing, before the first joint gets cut. That's not a sales pitch. That's just how the job is supposed to be done.